

it ought not to be counted in this particular portion of the analysis. In essence, I would also further point out that this amendment, again, as I understand it, trying to generate, in laymen terms, what I have had explained to me, this would still allow the counting of the corporate income tax refund from 775. It would still account for the counting of the wage benefit credit from 829, but it would not call for a double counting of the income...sales tax refund as a result of LB 775. It is meant to be a middle ground approach, and I will not use the term compromise because compromise means both sides have to agree, and they are not in a position to do so. But I do think it is a middle ground approach. I think this is a tighter system of doing a cost-benefit analysis than is normally done, than would have been done had the Department of Economic Development simply been told to create its own, but it would not be as tight as what the Revenue Committee is suggesting. And I really do think the Revenue Committee's recommendation is too tight because it assumes...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SPEAKER WITHEM: ...that those jobs would not have been there. For that reason, I will be voting for the committee amend...for the Brashear amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Withem. Senator Lindsay. Senator Lindsay, your light is next, followed by Senators Wesely, Wickersham, Warner, Kristensen, Will and Hall.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President. I wanted to, I guess, touch a little bit on I think one of the fears that we have, and that is when we're doing the cost-benefit analysis I think we, on this particular bill, on 829, we have to, I think, take a step back and not say this is LB 775 with a different number attached to it because it's not, it is different legislation. I'd ask you when you're looking at the cost-benefit analysis and determining some things like are we assuming...what assumptions are we starting from? Or are we starting from the assumption that this business will or will not be here? Take one step back, one page back I should say, and look to one of the things that the board has to determine. Remember, these are...these ones are not mandatory everybody gets them, like under 775. These ones the application has to be approved by a board. Now, if you look at one of the things the board has to consider, it's on page 9, lines 19 and 20, whether